

## **PRESENTERS**



### **John Land, Bankside Chambers, Auckland**

John is a barrister specialising in commercial litigation and competition law. Since 2016 he has also been a teaching fellow at the University of Auckland responsible for the teaching of company law. Before joining Bankside Chambers in 2012 John was with the national law firm Kensington Swan for 26 years, including 20 years as a partner. He has published frequently in the fields of competition and corporate law.



### **Peter Watts QC, Bankside Chambers, Auckland**

Peter is a Professor of Law at the University of Auckland, and from 20 July 2017, a fulltime member of Bankside Chambers. He is the author of and contributor to a number of books on company law, including: P Watts *Directors' Powers and Duties* (2nd ed, LexisNexis, 2015); P Watts, N Campbell and C Hare *Company Law in New Zealand* (2nd ed, LexisNexis, 2016); and *Morison's Company and Securities Law* (LexisNexis looseleaf). Peter is also one of the editors of the *Company & Securities Law Bulletin*, and the General Editor of *Bowstead & Reynolds on Agency* (20th ed, Sweet & Maxwell, 2014).

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

# CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>ATTRIBUTION OF ACTIONS OR KNOWLEDGE OF INDIVIDUALS TO THE COMPANY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.	THE RECENT CASES – <i>MOULIN</i> .....	3
3.	THE RECENT CASES – <i>BILTA</i> .....	4
4.	THIRD PARTY PURSUING CLAIM AGAINST COMPANY ARISING FROM MISCONDUCT OF DIRECTOR, EMPLOYEE OR AGENT .....	5
5.	COMPANY IS PURSUING A CLAIM AGAINST A DIRECTOR OR EMPLOYEE FOR BREACH OF DUTY OR BREACH OF CONTRACT .....	6
6.	COMPANY PURSUING A CLAIM AGAINST A THIRD PARTY .....	7
<b>2.</b>	<b>THE PLACE OF THE INFORMAL UNANIMOUS ASSENT DOCTRINE IN NEW ZEALAND COMPANY LAW .....</b>	<b>9</b>
1.	THE <i>DUOMATIC</i> PRINCIPLE AND <i>RIRINUI</i> .....	9
<b>3.</b>	<b>THE BASICS OF THE RULES ON OBTAINING AN ENFORCEABLE CONTRACT FROM A COMPANY .....</b>	<b>13</b>
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	13
2.	FOUR MAIN TYPES OF PROBLEM.....	13
3.	1993 ACT IS NOT A CODE; IT WORKS WITH THE COMMON LAW .....	13
4.	THERE IS NO GENERAL PRESUMPTION OF REGULARITY IN RELATION TO COMPANY CONTRACTING. 14	14
5.	THE ESSENTIAL TOOLS OF CONTRACTING THROUGH INTERMEDIARIES .....	14
6.	THE DEEDS EXCEPTION: FORMAL CONTRACTS SIGNED BY TWO DIRECTORS.....	14
7.	ACTUAL AUTHORITY .....	15
8.	APPARENT AUTHORITY .....	16
9.	THE REFORMS TO THE COMMON LAW FOUND IN THE 1993 ACT.....	17
10.	<i>HANSARD V HANSARD</i> .....	18
<b>4.</b>	<b>DIRECTORS' DUTIES .....</b>	<b>21</b>
1.	DUTY OF GOOD FAITH – GENERAL AND <i>SOJOURNER V ROBB</i> .....	21
2.	DUTY OF GOOD FAITH – <i>MORGENSTERN V JEFFREYS</i> .....	23
3.	DUTY OF GOOD FAITH – <i>MADSEN-RIES V GREENHILL</i> .....	25
4.	GROUPS OF COMPANIES AND <i>FISK V FAWCET</i> .....	26
5.	CRIMINALISATION OF BREACH OF DUTY TO ACT IN GOOD FAITH.....	28
6.	USE OF CORPORATE OPPORTUNITY – DOES THE EQUITABLE DUTY SURVIVE? .....	28
7.	USE OF CORPORATE OPPORTUNITY – <i>BHULLAR</i> DECISION .....	32
8.	DUTY OF CARE AND SKILL .....	33
9.	DUTY OF CARE – THE <i>OBERHOLSTER</i> CASE.....	33
10.	DUTY OF CARE – <i>GRANT AND KHOV V JOHNSTON</i> .....	35
11.	RECKLESS TRADING – GENERAL COMMENTS .....	37
12.	RECKLESS TRADING – <i>FXHT FUND MANAGERS LTD (IN LIQ) V OBERHOLSTER</i> .....	39
13.	RECKLESS TRADING – <i>MORGENSTERN V JEFFREYS</i> .....	41
14.	RECKLESS TRADING – <i>MADSEN-RIES V GREENHILL</i> .....	41
15.	DUTY TO ACT FOR A PROPER PURPOSE.....	43
16.	DUTY TO ACT FOR A PROPER PURPOSE – <i>ECLAIRS GROUP V JKX</i> .....	44
17.	RELIANCE ON INFORMATION AND ADVICE – SECTION 138 .....	48
18.	DIRECTORS' LIABILITY INSURANCE – THE <i>BRIDGECORP</i> CASE .....	50
<b>5.</b>	<b>SHAREHOLDER REMEDIES .....</b>	<b>53</b>
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	53
2.	DERIVATIVE ACTIONS.....	53
3.	DERIVATIVE ACTIONS – <i>PRESLEY V CALLPLUS</i> .....	57
4.	DERIVATIVE ACTIONS – <i>HE V CHEN</i> .....	59
5.	SHAREHOLDER OPPRESSION .....	60
6.	SHAREHOLDER OPPRESSION – <i>STURGESS V DUNPHY</i> .....	64

<b>6.</b>	<b>PERSONAL CIVIL LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS TO OUTSIDE PARTIES .....</b>	<b>67</b>
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	67
2.	THE COMMON LAW.....	67
3.	FAIR TRADING ACT LIABILITY.....	76